

1. Submission

← → ↻ jurnaliicet.org/index.php/jppi/author/submission/1978

GS GT New chat Remotexs Translate Online WhatsApp BookFI eZproxy Download video YouTube JIAP QuillBot GD iLovePDF Turnitin

JPPPI
(Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia)

ISSN: 2502-8103 (Electronic)
ISSN: 2477-8524 (Print)

PKCET

Home About Editorial Team Issue Submissions Contact Announcements tunggul


Home / User / Author / Submissions / #1978 / Summary

Summary Review Editing

Submission

Authors	Tunggul Prasodjo
Title	The effect of passive leadership on behavioral incivility and workplace incivility of employees in Indonesia public organizations
Original file	1978-5075-1-SM.docx 2022-07-23
Supp. files	1978-5446-1-SP.docx 2022-09-08
Submitter	Tunggul Prasodjo
Date submitted	July 23, 2022 - 10:38 AM
Section	Articles
Editor	Zadrian Ardi
Abstract Views	0

Author Fees



JPPPI (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia) is Nationally Accredited in **SINTA 2**

Accreditation Number

(Ministry of Research and Technology / National Agency for Research and Innovation);
200/M/KPT/2020, No 77 pp.15 date December 23, 2020.

The Effect of Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility of Employees in Indonesia Public Organizations

Tunggul Prasadjo

Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Nobel Indonesia

Email: tunggul.prasodjo@nobel.ac.id

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationship between Passive Leadership variables and Behavioral Incivility, the relationship between Passive Leadership variables and Workplace Incivility and the relationship between Workplace Incivility variables and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. This research belongs to the type of descriptive quantitative research, namely research that aims to explain and explain descriptive information. The subjects taken in this study were 210 employee in Indonesia public organizations during the COVID-19 pandemic which were determined by the random sampling system method. Data was obtained by distributing online questionnaires with a Likert scale of 1 to 7 through social media. The analysis technique used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with data processing tools SmartPLS 3.0 software. The independent variable in this study is Passive Leadership, while the dependent variables are Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility. The increase in the passive leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the behavioral incivility variable. There is a significant effect of passive leadership on workplace incivility in Indonesia public organizations. There is a significant influence between workplace incivility on behavioral inclusivity in Indonesia public organizations.

Keywords: Passive Leadership ,Behavioral Incivility , Workplace Incivility, Indonesia public organizations

Introduction

In the era of the industrial revolution 4.0, human resource management is needed to improve the effectiveness of human resources in Indonesian public organizations whose goal is to provide effective work unit organizations. The success of HR in achieving organizational goals is also inseparable from the influence and behavior of leaders in developing employees. According to Amanullah (2021), the effectiveness of employees in doing their jobs depends on the influence they receive from their leaders. According to Amanullah (2021); Asad et al. (2020); Baig et al (2020) A successful leader is if the leader is

able to be a motivator for his subordinates by creating an atmosphere and work culture that can spur the growth and development of employee performance, and has the ability to have a positive influence on his employees to do their jobs. in accordance with the direction and goals to be achieved. Therefore, the leadership style here is very important and has a big impact on employees, but not only that, a reciprocal relationship is needed between superiors and subordinates. According to Han et al (2022) leaders apply a leadership style that is favored by their subordinates, and vice versa subordinates will be motivated so that they can improve their performance and the desired organizational goals can be achieved. According to Green, CA (2019); Han et al (2022) The leadership style itself is defined as the behavior or method chosen and used by the leader in influencing the thoughts, feelings, attitudes, and behavior of the organization. Leadership style is the way a leader influences the behavior of subordinates, so that they are willing to work together and work productively to achieve organizational goals. According to Carmona et al (2021); Coluccio et al (2021) Each person's behavior or leadership style must be different according to the personality of the leader, this is what can affect employee achievement and performance which can later affect the achievement of company goals. However, not all leaders are able to encourage or motivate their employees in a positive direction.

According to Ishaque (2020); Jensen et al (2021); Köhler et al (2018); Lee et al (2014) there are leaders who expect employees to do their jobs well based on the responsibilities given, but without supervision and do not play an active role in directing employees in doing work to achieve goals. organization goals. According to Baig et al (2020); Bureau et al (2021) Leaders carry out their responsibilities, leaving employees without clear direction and coordination and forcing employees to make their own plans to implement them, and rate them according to what they feel is appropriate without standards. clear. This leadership style can be called passive leadership. Passive leadership involves a pattern of indolence exhibited by someone in a position of authority.

Examples of passive leadership include behaviors such as avoiding decisions, ignoring problems at work, and not reinforcing appropriate behaviors in the organization. A leader is said to be passive if the leader allows employees to work alone without ongoing supervision, and the leader also gives full authority to employees regarding decision-making problems in their work. According to Han et al (2022) passive leadership includes Management By Exception Passive (MBEP) and laissez-faire leadership. Management By Exception Passive is the leader waiting for the problem to exist and then there is a desire to fix it (Bass, Bernard, 2008). So that this kind of leader does not focus on problem prevention but on problem fixing, where prevention will be more efficient because the organization does not need to face high-risk problems. According to Vullings et al (2020) this leadership style emphasizes more on group decisions and allows the leading group to determine their goals and methods to be achieved. In some situations, the laissez-faire leadership style can leave people feeling lost and frustrated because of the lack of guidance from superiors, so that employees often find it difficult to do or complete their work when faced with this type of leader. So that employee behavior can be affected as a result of passive leadership which causes employees to behave arbitrarily. Passive leaders generally do not take proactive steps to reward positive behavior, so employees who are well behaved and are not rewarded have no desire to repeat their good behavior. In this way, passive leaders can have an effect on deviant employee behavior, such as behavioral incivility. According to Vullings et al (2020) Immodest behavior is human behavior that is not in accordance with proper behavior and is not in accordance with the regulations of an organization. Some examples of behavior that show impoliteness are such as coming late to work, coming home earlier than the appointed

time, influencing coworkers not to attend office events, subconscious desire to hurt coworkers, not being in the office during working hours, and so on.

According to Tong et al (2019); Vullingsh et al (2020) put forward social learning theory that can influence other employees through employees who behave impolitely, for example when an employee sees another employee being disrespectful but not reprimanded by his superior, then the employee will dare to do the same thing and do the same. this will spread to other employees. According to Tong et al (2019); Vullingsh et al (2020) This behavior is called experienced workplace incivility. This is what causes many employees to behave impolitely when their leader is passive, when employees are used to behaving impolitely then this will become a habit that will continue to be carried out based on experience or also called the experience of behaving impolitely. There are many public organizations in which there are several fields in which they only carry out tasks according to their respective fields. In one field there is a head of field and several employees. According to Sidle (2009); Sims et al (2021); Singh et al (2021); Tong et al (2019); Vullingsh et al (2020) There are employees who only perform their duties in front of their leaders, they not only don't do their job but often also disturb other employees who are doing their respective tasks. Not to mention when they are faced with passive leaders who don't care about the conditions of their employees, making them tend to be lazy, even though the tasks given are a responsibility that can generate their salaries and also become lessons that can make them better. and more advanced in career in the future.

Literature Review

Passive Leadership

According to Sims et al (2021); Singh et al (2021); Tong et al (2019); Vullingsh et al (2020) Passive Leadership (Passive Leadership) is the behavior of a leader who avoids making decisions, ignores problems at work, fails to be a role model for his employees, etc. A passive leader displays a leadership style model that is apathetic, indifferent, and other. According to Lee et al (2014); Mahfooz et al. (2017) Passive leaders tend to define and explain what makes employees have high expectations for assessing themselves. Passive leaders generally don't take proactive steps to lead a company.

Behavioral Incivility

Behavioral Incivility is defined by Singh et al (2021); Tong et al (2019); Vullingsh et al (2020) is a low-intensity deviant act such as rude and disrespectful and non-verbal behavior imposed on other members of the organization with the clear intention of hurting. It distinguishes itself from other constructs on several dimensions. First, it is defined as low-intensity behavior. According to Amosh, J. (2019); Sidle (2009) states that other forms of ill-treatment can have a significant impact on employee attitudes towards the organization. On the other hand, some bad behavior is not defined in terms of intensity, although intensity can be deduced from its definition as a measurement tool. Second, what distinguishes bullying behavior from impolite behavior is a statement that asserts that rudeness has an ambiguous meaning.

Workplace Incivility

According to Vullings et al (2020) put forward work incivility as a low intensity deviant behavior with the ambiguous intention of harming the target, violating work norms for mutual respect. Disrespectful behavior that is both rude and impolite, displaying a lack of behavior that is noticed by others. They conceptualize this as a specific form of employee deviance which in turn is part of antisocial employee behavior. According to Namin, et al (2021); Patterson et al (2017); Amosh, J. (2019); Sidle (2009) explain that this type of impoliteness will generally continue to thrive unless the party chooses to ignore incivility and disengage from the experience of workplace incivility. Alternatively, the experience of doing something disrespectful may escalate to the point that individuals clearly intend to harm one another, at which point the phenomenon will transcend the domain that may result in disrespect. The more impolite behavior in the organization, it can influence co-workers to follow impolite behavior. According to; Sims et al (2021); Singh et al (2021); Tong et al (2019); Vullings et al (2020) the influence of a leader or supervisor is very large on impolite behavior. The more days it is allowed, all employees of the organization can imitate it and dare to act to carry out such behavior. This is what is called Experienced Workplace Incivility (the experience of behaving impolitely at work).

The Effect of Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility

According to Sims et al (2021); Singh et al (2021); Tong et al (2019); Vullings et al (2020) suggest that behavioral incivility is influenced by passive leadership which can make impolite behavior occur. Passive leadership has a great influence on employees, because passive leadership is the nature of a leader who does not care about his employees. According to Patterson et al (2017); amosh, J. (2019); Sidle (2009); Sims et al (2021) This situation causes employees to behave deviantly, such as arriving late to work, being outside working hours during office hours not in terms of official duties, disturbing other employees and so on. Passive leadership can cause employees to behave impolitely unintentionally by their employees. Therefore, it can be concluded that the hypothesis is:

H1: Passive Leadership has an effect on Behavioral Incivility

The Effect of Passive Leadership on Experienced Workplace Incivility

Based on the first hypothesis, if there is a relationship between passive leadership and impolite behavior, then based on the social learning theory of Lee et al (2014); Mahfooz et al. (2017) According to Namin, et al (2021) which can influence other employees through impolite employees. Therefore, the influence of leaders, supervisors and co-workers has a very large influence on the experience of impolite behavior in the workplace. The more days it is left, the whole employee can imitate and dare to do behavior that is outside the context of existing norms. Therefore, the hypothesis can be concluded as follows:

H2: Passive Leadership affects Experienced Workplace Incivility

The Effect of Experienced Workplace Incivility on Behavioral Incivility

According to Amosh, J. (2019); Sidle (2009); Sims et al (2021); Singh et al (2021); Tong et al (2019); Vullings et al (2020)) draw on social interactionist theory to provide a detailed framework to support the relationship between experienced workplace incivility and incivility behavior. Although impoliteness begins when an individual (for example, an employee, customer, or supervisor) engages in disrespectful behavior, attributions are made to the effect of the unfavorable act and the way others respond to it. Employees who have experience (more than twice) committing impolite acts in the workplace will clearly shape the thoughts of other employees that this behavior can be tolerated in the workplace. This will clearly have an effect on impolite behavior in new employees who want to do it. Therefore, the hypothesis can be concluded as follows:

H3: Experienced Workplace Incivility has an effect on Behavioral incivility

The Effect of Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility Mediated by Experienced Workplace Incivility

According to Mahfooz et al. (2017) showed that there would be a positive relationship between experiencing impoliteness and impolite behavior (Hypothesis 3). When considered in conjunction with the second hypothesis, other possible avenues of leadership influence emerge. That is, passive leadership can have a direct effect on impolite behavior through its influence on experienced workplace incivility. Passive leaders are expected to experience higher levels of rudeness. According to Harold et al (2015); Hoffman et al (2015) show that the majority of employees who experience impoliteness respond with impoliteness themselves. An employee who works for a passive leader will be more likely to experience rudeness, which in turn, will increase the likelihood that the employee will engage in disrespectful behavior himself. Therefore, the hypothesis can be concluded as follows:

H4: Passive Leadership has an effect on Behavioral Incivility through Experienced Workplace Incivility

Method

This research belongs to the type of explanatory quantitative research, namely research that aims to explain and explain descriptive information. The subjects taken in this study were 210 employee in Indonesia public organizations during the COVID-19 pandemic which were determined by the random sampling system method. Data was obtained by distributing online questionnaires with a Likert scale of 1 to 7 through social media. The analysis technique used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with data processing tools SmartPLS 3.0 software. The independent variable in this study is Passive Leadership, while the independent variables are Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility. In obtaining data and information in accordance with this study, the researcher used a questionnaire (questionnaire) given personally to the respondent as a tool to collect related data and information. A questionnaire is a pre-defined list of written questions to which the respondent will answer, usually in clearly defined alternatives.

Based on previous research studies, the p hypothesis is formulated as follows:




Figure 1. Research Model

The hypotheses in this study are:

1. There is a positive relationship between Passive Leadership and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations
2. There is a positive relationship between Passive Leadership and Workplace Incivility in Indonesia public organizations
3. There is a positive relationship between Workplace Incivility and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations

Results and Discussion

Model Validity Test

The first stage in data analysis is to test the validity and reliability of the model. According to Purwanto et al (2021) explaining an indicator is declared valid if it has a loading factor above 0.70. Here are the results of the validity test




Figure 2. Validity Test After Selection

Based on Figure 2, all indicator statements are declared valid because they already have a loading factor above 0.70.

Table 1. Reliability Test

	Alpha Cronbach	rho_A	Composite Reliability	Average Extracted Variance (AVE)
Passive Leadership	0.776	0.717	0.761	0.862
Behavioral Incivility	0.769	0.786	0.780	0.768
Workplace Incivility	0.877	0.814	0.863	0.783

Source: processed data (2022)

Based on the standards set by Purwanto et al (2021) in table 1, it is known that the AVE value is above 0.5 and the Composite Reliability value is above 0.7, so that all variables meet the reliability requirements.

Structural Model Evaluation (Inner Model)

R-Square is used to evaluate the structural model for the independent variables/inner model, here are the results of testing the inner model

Table 2. Evaluation of the Structural Model (Inner Model)

	R box	R Square Customized
Behavioral Incivility	0855	0.814
Workplace Incivility	0.937	0.923

Source: processed data (2022)

Based on table 2, the Behavioral Incivility variable can be explained by 85.5% in this model, while the remaining 14.5% is explained by other variables not discussed in this study . While the Workplace Incivility variable can be explained by 93.7% by this research model, while the remaining 6.3% is explained by other variables not discussed in this study

Hypothesis test

The following are the results of data processing for hypothesis testing using the PLS Bootstrapping method.




Figure 3. PLS Bootstrap

The following table is presented to clarify the description of the hypothesis test above.

Table 3. Hypothesis Test

	Original Sample (O)	T statistics	P value	Result
Passive Leadership -> Behavioral Incivility	0.229	1,199	0.231	Not supported
Passive Leadership->Workplace Incivility	0.968	98.653	0.000	Supported
Workplace Incivility->Behavioral Incivility	0.701	3.675	0.002	Supported

Relationship between Passive Leadership and Behavioral Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value of $0.231 > 0.050$ so that it was concluded that there was an insignificant effect between Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. This result is not in line with research by Jensen et al (2021); Köhler et al (2018); Lee et al (2014); Mahfooz et al. (2017) which states that Passive Leadership does not have a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable. The results of this study indicate that passive leadership has a significant effect on behavioral incivility and experienced workplace incivility. Passive leadership is a bad leader trait to be applied to Indonesia public organizations. Because employees are more likely to engage in impolite behavior. Therefore, so that employees can reduce impolite behavior, it is better for leaders to be able to reduce their passive nature to be more active and be able to pay attention to the behavior of each employee. A passive leader which in theory is laissez-faire leadership that can be applied to companies where the leader and employees have the same final education and experience, then the passive leader can let his employees make their own decisions within the company.

Relationship between Passive Leadership and Workplace Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value value was $0.000 < 0.050$ so it was concluded that there was a significant influence between Passive Leadership on Workplace Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable has a significant effect on the Workplace Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. These results are in line with research by Harold et al (2015); Hoffman et al (2015) which states that Passive Leadership has a significant influence on the Workplace Incivility variable. The results of this study also show that experienced workplace incivility can strengthen its influence between passive leadership and Behavioral incivility is therefore expected of employees not to engage in impolite behavior that can affect other employees in the workplace. And it is hoped that leaders from each field will pay more attention to the behavior of each member who has started to deviate, so that employees will no longer commit many violations so that they can improve performance.

Relationship between Workplace Incivility and Behavioral Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value of $0.002 < 0.050$ so it was concluded that there was a significant effect between Workplace Incivility on Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Workplace Incivility variable has a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. These results are in line with research by Coluccio et al (2021); Green, CA (2019); Han et al (2022) which states that Passive Leadership has a significant influence on the Behavioral Incivility variable.

Conclusion

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that there was no significant effect between passive leadership on behavioral incivility. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the behavioral incivility variable. There is a significant effect of passive leadership on workplace incivility in Indonesia public organizations. There is a significant influence between workplace incivility on behavioral incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The results of this study indicate that passive leadership has a significant effect on behavioral incivility and experienced workplace incivility. Passive leadership is a bad leader trait to be applied to public organizations. Because employees are more likely to engage in impolite behavior. Therefore, so that employees can reduce impolite behavior, it is better for leaders to be able to reduce their passive nature to be more active and be able to pay attention to the behavior of each employee. A passive leader which in theory is laissez-faire leadership that can be applied to companies where the leader and employees have the same final education and experience, then the passive leader can let his employees make their own decisions within the public.

References

Amanullah, R. (2021). Impact of passive leadership on emotional exhaustion with the mediating role of workplace incivility and moderating role of affective commitment (Doctoral dissertation, Faculty of Management & Social Sciences Department of Management Sciences, Capital University).

Asad Ali, Z., & Siddiqui, DA (2020). Effect of Culture and Leadership on Workplace Incivility with the Mediating Role of Norms and Organizational Justice. Danish Ahmed, Effect of Culture and Leadership on Workplace Incivility with the Mediating Role of Norms and Organizational Justice (August 30, 2020).

Baig, J., & Zaid, AA (2020). Behavioral Incivility and Leadership Styles: Assessing The Mediation of Job Strain, Employment Insecurity and Relational Injustice: A Conceptual Model. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(3), 687, 693.

Bureau, JS, Gagné, M., Morin, AJ, & Mageau, GA (2021). Transformational leadership and incivility: A multilevel and longitudinal test. *Journal of interpersonal violence*, 36(1-2), NP448-NP473.

Carmona-Cobo, I., Garrosa, E., & Lopez-Zafra, E. (2021). Workers' Observation of Uncivil Leadership: Is Tolerance for Workplace Incivility a Gendered Issue?. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 6111.

Coluccio Piñones, G., Pedraja-Rejas, L., Medel Romero, C., & Meza Castro, N. (2021). Passive-avoidant leadership style and exchange of leader-follower behaviors in university students: an approach from Chile. *Hallazgos*, 18(35), 129-147.

Green, CA (2019). Workplace incivility: Nurse leaders as change agents. *Nursing Management*, 50(1), 51-53.

Han, S., Harold, CM, Oh, IS, Kim, JK, & Agolli, A. (2022). A meta-analysis integrating 20 years of workplace incivility research: Antecedents, consequences, and boundary conditions. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 43(3), 497-523.

Harold, CM, & Holtz, BC (2015). The effects of passive leadership on workplace incivility. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(1), 16-38.

Hoffman, RL, & Chunta, K. (2015). Workplace incivility: Promoting zero tolerance in nursing. *Journal of radiology nursing*, 34(4), 222-227.

Ishaque, A., Tufail, M., & Bangash, R. (2020). Workplace Incivility and Knowledge Hiding: Does Transformational Leadership Matters?. *Journal of Business & Economics*, 12(1), 107-124.

Jensen, JM, & Raver, JL (2021). A policy capturing investigation of bystander decisions to intervene against workplace incivility. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 36(5), 883-901.

Köhler, T., González-Morales, MG, Sojo, VE, & Olsen, JE (2018). Who is the wolf and who is the sheep? Toward a more nuanced understanding of workplace incivility. *Industrial and organizational Psychology*, 11(1), 122-129.

Lee, J., & Jensen, JM (2014). The effects of active constructive and passive corrective leadership on workplace incivility and the mediating role of fairness perceptions. *Group & Organization Management*, 39(4), 416-443.

Mahfooz, Z., Arshad, A., Nisar, QA, Ikram, M., & Azeem, M. (2017). Does workplace incivility & workplace ostracism influence the employees' turnover intentions? Mediating role of burnout and job stress

& moderating role of psychological capital. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 7(8), 398-413.

Namin, BH, gaard, T., & Røislien, J. (2021). Workplace incivility and turnover intention in organizations: A meta-analytic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(1), 25.

Patterson, AM, Chris, AC, & González-Morales, MG (2017). Workplace incivility: A critical review and agenda for research and practice. *The Routledge companion to wellbeing at work*, 150-168.

Purwanto, A., & Sudargini, Y. (2021). Partial Least Squares Structural Squation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Analysis for Social and Management Research: A Literature Review. *Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management Research*, 2(4), 114-123.

Purwanto, A., Asbari, M., & Santoso, TI (2021). Education Management Research Data Analysis: Comparison of Results between Lisrel, Tetrad, GSCA, Amos, SmartPLS, WarpPLS, and SPSS For Small Samples. Nidhomul Haq: *Journal of Islamic Education Management*.

Purwanto, A., Asbari, M., Santoso, TI, Sunarsi, D., & Ilham, D. (2021). Education Research Quantitative Analysis for Little Respondents: Comparing of Lisrel, Tetrad, GSCA, Amos, SmartPLS, WarpPLS, and SPSS. *Journal of Teacher Studies and Learning*, 4(2).

Samosh, J. (2019). What is workplace incivility? An investigation of employee relational schemas. *Organization Management Journal*, 16(2), 81-97.

Sidle, SD (2009). Workplace incivility: how should employees and managers respond?. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 23(4), 88-89.

Sims, C., Carter, A., & Moore De Peralta, A. (2021). Do servant, transformational, transactional, and passive avoidant leadership styles influence mentoring competencies for faculty? A study of a gender equity leadership development program. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 32(1), 55-75.

Singh, S., Sood, S., & Bala, R. (2021). Passive leadership styles and perceived procrastination in leaders: a PLS-SEM approach. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 17(1), 20-37.

Tong, J., Chong, S., & Johnson, RE (2019). The indirect relations of workplace incivility with emotional exhaustion and supportive behaviors via self-blame: The moderating roles of observed incivility and trait emotional control. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(8), 931-946.

Vullings, JT, De Hoogh, AH, Den Hartog, DN, & Boon, C. (2020). Ethical and passive leadership and their joint relationships with burnout via role clarity and role overload. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 165(4), 719-733.

2. Proses Review

jurnal.licet.org/index.php/jppi/author/submissionReview/1978

New chat Remotexs Translate Online WhatsApp BookFI ezproxy Download video YouTube JIAP QuillBot GD iLovePDF

(Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia) ICET

Home About Editorial Team Issue Submissions Contact Announcements tunggul

Home / User / Author / Submissions / #1978 / Review

Summary **Review** Editing

Submission

Authors: [Tunggul Prasodjo](#)

Title: The effect of passive leadership on behavioral incivility and workplace incivility of employees in Indonesia public organizations

Section: Articles

Editor: [Zadrian Ardi](#)


Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version	1978-5076-2-RV.doc	2022-10-10
Initiated	2022-10-10	
Last modified	2022-10-19	
Uploaded file	Reviewer A 1978-5750-1-RV.doc	2022-10-19

Editor Decision


Decision	Accept Submission	2022-10-25
Notify Editor	Editor/Author Email Record	2022-10-19
Editor Version	1978-5632-1-ED.doc	2022-10-10
Author Version	1978-5802-1-ED.doc	2022-10-24
Upload Author Version	<input type="button" value="Pilih File"/> Tidak ada file yang dipilih	<input type="button" value="Upload"/>



JPPI (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia) is Nationally Accredited in **SINTA 2**

Accreditation Number

(Ministry of Research and Technology / National Agency for Research and Innovation): 200/M/KPT/2020, No 77 pp.15 date December 23, 2020.



The Effect of Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility of Employees in Indonesia Public Organizations

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jun 12th, 201x
Revised Aug 20th, 201x
Accepted Aug 26th, 201x

Keyword:

Passive Leadership
Behavioral Incivility
Workplace Incivility
public organizations

ABSTRACT (10 PT)

The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationship between Passive Leadership variables and Behavioral Incivility, the relationship between Passive Leadership variables and Workplace Incivility and the relationship between Workplace Incivility variables and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. This research belongs to the type of descriptive quantitative research, namely research that aims to explain and explain descriptive information. The subjects taken in this study were 210 employee in Indonesia public organizations during the COVID-19 pandemic which were determined by the random sampling system method. Data was obtained by distributing online questionnaires with a Likert scale of 1 to 7 through social media. The analysis technique used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with data processing tools SmartPLS 3.0 software. The independent variable in this study is Passive Leadership, while the dependent variables are Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility. The increase in the passive leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the behavioral incivility variable. There is a significant effect of passive leadership on workplace incivility in Indonesia public organizations. There is a significant influence between workplace incivility on behavioral inclusivity in Indonesia public organizations.



© 2020 The Authors. Published by IICET.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-SA license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0>)

Corresponding Author:

Tunggul Prasodjo

Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Nobel Indonesia

Email: tunggul.prasodjo@nobel.ac.id

Introduction

In the era of the industrial revolution 4.0, human resource management is needed to improve the effectiveness of human resources in Indonesian public organizations whose goal is to provide effective work unit organizations. The success of HR in achieving organizational goals is also inseparable from the influence and behavior of leaders in developing employees. According to Amanullah (2021), the effectiveness of employees in doing their jobs depends on the influence they receive from their leaders. According to Amanullah (2021); Asad et al. (2020); Baig et al (2020) A successful leader is if the leader

is able to be a motivator for his subordinates by creating an atmosphere and work culture that can spur the growth and development of employee performance, and has the ability to have a positive influence on his employees to do their jobs. in accordance with the direction and goals to be achieved. Therefore, the leadership style here is very important and has a big impact on employees, but not only that, a reciprocal relationship is needed between superiors and subordinates. According to Han et al (2022) leaders apply a leadership style that is favored by their subordinates, and vice versa subordinates will be motivated so that they can improve their performance and the desired organizational goals can be achieved. According to Green, CA (2019); Han et al (2022) The leadership style itself is defined as the behavior or method chosen and used by the leader in influencing the thoughts, feelings, attitudes, and behavior of the organization. Leadership style is the way a leader influences the behavior of subordinates, so that they are willing to work together and work productively to achieve organizational goals. According to Carmona et al (2021); Coluccio et al (2021) Each person's behavior or leadership style must be different according to the personality of the leader, this is what can affect employee achievement and performance which can later affect the achievement of company goals. However, not all leaders are able to encourage or motivate their employees in a positive direction.

According to Ishaque (2020); Jensen et al (2021); Köhler et al (2018); Lee et al (2014) there are leaders who expect employees to do their jobs well based on the responsibilities given, but without supervision and do not play an active role in directing employees in doing work to achieve goals. organization goals. According to Baig et al (2020); Bureau et al (2021) Leaders carry out their responsibilities, leaving employees without clear direction and coordination and forcing employees to make their own plans to implement them, and rate them according to what they feel is appropriate without standards. clear. This leadership style can be called passive leadership. Passive leadership involves a pattern of indolence exhibited by someone in a position of authority.

Examples of passive leadership include behaviors such as avoiding decisions, ignoring problems at work, and not reinforcing appropriate behaviors in the organization. A leader is said to be passive if the leader allows employees to work alone without ongoing supervision, and the leader also gives full authority to employees regarding decision-making problems in their work. According to Han et al (2022) passive leadership includes Management By Exception Passive (MBEP) and laissez-faire leadership. Management By Exception Passive is the leader waiting for the problem to exist and then there is a desire to fix it (Bass, Bernard, 2008). So that this kind of leader does not focus on problem prevention but on problem fixing, where prevention will be more efficient because the organization does not need to face high-risk problems. According to Vullingsh et al (2020) this leadership style emphasizes more on group decisions and allows the leading group to determine their goals and methods to be achieved. In some situations, the laissez-faire leadership style can leave people feeling lost and frustrated because of the lack of guidance from superiors, so that employees often find it difficult to do or complete their work when faced with this type of leader. So that employee behavior can be affected as a result of passive leadership which causes employees to behave arbitrarily. Passive leaders generally do not take proactive steps to reward positive behavior, so employees who are well behaved and are not rewarded have no desire to repeat their good behavior. In this way, passive leaders can have an effect on deviant employee behavior, such as behavioral incivility. According to Vullingsh et al (2020) Immodest behavior is human behavior that is not in accordance with proper behavior and is not in accordance with the regulations of an organization. Some examples of behavior that show impoliteness are such as coming late to work, coming home earlier than the appointed time, influencing coworkers not to attend office events, subconscious desire to hurt coworkers, not being in the office during working hours, and so on.

According to Tong et al (2019); Vullingsh et al (2020) put forward social learning theory that can influence other employees through employees who behave impolitely, for example when an employee sees another employee being disrespectful but not reprimanded by his superior, then the employee will dare to do the same thing and do the same. this will spread to other employees. According to Tong et al (2019); Vullingsh et al (2020) This behavior is called experienced workplace incivility. This is what causes many employees to behave impolitely when their leader is passive, when employees are used to behaving impolitely then this will become a habit that will continue to be carried out based on experience or also called the experience of behaving impolitely. There are many public organizations in which there are several fields in which they only carry out tasks according to their respective fields. In one field there is a head of field and several employees. According to Sidle (2009); Sims et al (2021); Singh et al (2021); Tong et al (2019); Vullingsh et al (2020) There are employees who only perform their duties in front of their leaders, they not only don't do their job but often also disturb other employees who are doing their respective tasks. Not to mention when they are faced with passive leaders who don't care about the conditions of their employees, making them tend to be lazy, even though the tasks given are a responsibility that can generate their salaries and also become lessons that can make them better. and more advanced in career in the future.

Method

This research belongs to the type of explanatory quantitative research, namely research that aims to explain and explain descriptive information. The subjects taken in this study were 210 employee in Indonesia public organizations during the COVID-19 pandemic which were determined by the random sampling system method. Data was obtained by distributing online questionnaires with a Likert scale of 1 to 7 through social media. The analysis technique used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with data processing tools SmartPLS 3.0 software. The independent variable in this study is Passive Leadership, while the independent variables are Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility. In obtaining data and information in accordance with this study, the researcher used a questionnaire (questionnaire) given personally to the respondent as a tool to collect related data and information. A questionnaire is a pre-defined list of written questions to which the respondent will answer, usually in clearly defined alternatives.

Based on previous research studies, the p hypothesis is formulated as follows:

Commented [D1]: Explain the answer choices

Commented [D2]: give an explanation of this research variable




Figure 1. Research Model

The hypotheses in this study are:

4. There is a positive relationship between Passive Leadership and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations
5. There is a positive relationship between Passive Leadership and Workplace Incivility in Indonesia public organizations
6. There is a positive relationship between Workplace Incivility and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations

Commented [D3]: Move in introduction

Results and Discussions

Model Validity Test

The first stage in data analysis is to test the validity and reliability of the model. According to Purwanto et al (2021) explaining an indicator is declared valid if it has a loading factor above 0.70. Here are the results of the validity test




Figure 2. Validity Test After Selection

Based on Figure 2, all indicator statements are declared valid because they already have a loading factor above 0.70.

Table 1. Reliability Test

	Alpha Cronbach	rho_A	Composite Reliability	Average Extracted Variance (AVE)
Passive Leadership	0.776	0.717	0.761	0.862
Behavioral Incivility	0.769	0.786	0.780	0.768
Workplace Incivility	0.877	0.814	0.863	0.783

Source: processed data (2022)

Based on the standards set by Purwanto et al (2021) in table 1, it is known that the AVE value is above 0.5 and the Composite Reliability value is above 0.7, so that all variables meet the reliability requirements.

Structural Model Evaluation (Inner Model)

R-Square is used to evaluate the structural model for the independent variables/inner model, here are the results of testing the inner model

Table 2. Evaluation of the Structural Model (Inner Model)

	R box	R Square Customized
Behavioral Incivility	0.855	0.814
Workplace Incivility	0.937	0.923

Source: processed data (2022)

Based on table 2, the Behavioral Incivility variable can be explained by 85.5% in this model, while the remaining 14.5% is explained by other variables not discussed in this study . While the Workplace Incivility variable can be explained by 93.7% by this research model, while the remaining 6.3% is explained by other variables not discussed in this study

Hypothesis test

The following are the results of data processing for hypothesis testing using the PLS Bootstrapping method.




Figure 3. PLS Bootstrap

The following table is presented to clarify the description of the hypothesis test above.

Table 3. Hypothesis Test

	Original Sample (O)	T statistics	P value	Result
Passive Leadership -> Behavioral Incivility	0.229	1,199	0.231	Not supported
Passive Leadership->Workplace Incivility	0.968	98.653	0.000	Supported
Workplace Incivility->Behavioral Incivility	0.701	3.675	0.002	Supported

Relationship between Passive Leadership and Behavioral Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value of $0.231 > 0.050$ so that it was concluded that there was an insignificant effect between Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. This result is not in line with research by Jensen et al (2021); Köhler et al (2018); Lee et al (2014); Mahfooz et al. (2017) which states that Passive Leadership does not have a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable. The results of this study indicate that passive leadership has a significant effect on behavioral incivility and experienced workplace incivility. Passive leadership is a bad leader trait to be applied to Indonesia public organizations. Because employees are more likely to engage in impolite behavior. Therefore, so that employees can reduce impolite behavior, it is better for leaders to be able to reduce their passive nature to be more active and be able to pay attention to the behavior of each employee. A passive leader which in theory is laissez-faire leadership that can be applied to companies where the leader and employees have the same final education and experience, then the passive leader can let his employees make their own decisions within the company.

Commented [D4]: We recommend a more in-depth analysis of this, why is it not significant. you must provide a scientific analysis and include theoretical support.

Relationship between Passive Leadership and Workplace Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value value was $0.000 < 0.050$ so it was concluded that there was a significant influence between Passive Leadership on Workplace Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable has a significant effect on the Workplace Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. These results are in line with research by Harold et al (2015); Hoffman et al (2015) which states that Passive Leadership has a significant influence on the Workplace Incivility variable. The results of this study also show that experienced workplace incivility can strengthen its influence between passive leadership and Behavioral incivility is therefore expected of employees not to engage in impolite behavior that can affect other employees in the workplace. And it is hoped that leaders from each field will pay more attention to the behavior of each member who has started to deviate, so that employees will no longer commit many violations so that they can improve performance.

Relationship between Workplace Incivility and Behavioral Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value of $0.002 < 0.050$ so it was concluded that there was a significant effect between Workplace Incivility on Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Workplace Incivility variable has a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. These results are in line with research by Coluccio et al (2021); Green, CA (2019); Han et al (2022) which states that Passive Leadership has a significant influence on the Behavioral Incivility variable.

Conclusions

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that there was no significant effect between passive leadership on behavioral incivility. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the behavioral incivility variable. There is a significant effect of passive leadership on workplace incivility in Indonesia public organizations. There is a significant influence between workplace incivility on behavioral incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The results of this study indicate that passive leadership has a significant effect on behavioral incivility and experienced workplace incivility. Passive leadership is a bad leader trait to be applied to public organizations. Because employees are more likely to engage in impolite behavior. Therefore, so that employees can reduce impolite behavior, it is better for leaders to be able to reduce their passive nature to be more active and be able to pay attention to the behavior of each employee. A passive leader which in theory is laissez-faire leadership that can be applied to companies where the leader and employees have the same final education and experience, then the passive leader can let his employees make their own decisions within the public.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to the relevant agencies in providing accurate information, free from intervention from the leadership of the organization. So as to be able to present data that is in accordance with the facts and realities that occur in Indonesia, especially in public organizations.

References

Amanullah, R. (2021). Impact of passive leadership on emotional exhaustion with the mediating role of workplace incivility and moderating role of affective commitment (Doctoral dissertation, Faculty of Management & Social Sciences Department of Management Sciences, Capital University).

Asad Ali, Z., & Siddiqui, DA (2020). Effect of Culture and Leadership on Workplace Incivility with the Mediating Role of Norms and Organizational Justice. Danish Ahmed, Effect of Culture and Leadership on Workplace Incivility with the Mediating Role of Norms and Organizational Justice (August 30, 2020).

Baig, J., & Zaid, AA (2020). Behavioral Incivility and Leadership Styles: Assessing The Mediation of Job Strain, Employment Insecurity and Relational Injustice: A Conceptual Model. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(3), 687, 693.

Bass, Bernard, 2008

Commented [D5]: We recommend using a helpful application to manage your references such as: mendeley, end note, zetero.
use APA 7 style
Use referrals at least the last 10 years

- Bureau, JS, Gagné, M., Morin, AJ, & Mageau, GA (2021). Transformational leadership and incivility: A multilevel and longitudinal test. *Journal of interpersonal violence*, 36(1-2), NP448-NP473.
- Carmona-Cobo, I., Garrosa, E., & Lopez-Zafra, E. (2021). Workers' Observation of Uncivil Leadership: Is Tolerance for Workplace Incivility a Gendered Issue?. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 6111.
- Coluccio Piñones, G., Pedraja-Rejas, L., Medel Romero, C., & Meza Castro, N. (2021). Passive-avoidant leadership style and exchange of leader-follower behaviors in university students: an approach from Chile. *Hallazgos*, 18(35), 129-147.
- Green, CA (2019). Workplace incivility: Nurse leaders as change agents. *Nursing Management*, 50(1), 51-53.
- Han, S., Harold, CM, Oh, IS, Kim, JK, & Agolli, A. (2022). A meta-analysis integrating 20 years of workplace incivility research: Antecedents, consequences, and boundary conditions. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 43(3), 497-523.
- Harold, CM, & Holtz, BC (2015). The effects of passive leadership on workplace incivility. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(1), 16-38.
- Hoffman, RL, & Chunta, K. (2015). Workplace incivility: Promoting zero tolerance in nursing. *Journal of radiology nursing*, 34(4), 222-227.
- Ishaque, A., Tufail, M., & Bangash, R. (2020). Workplace Incivility and Knowledge Hiding: Does Transformational Leadership Matters?. *Journal of Business & Economics*, 12(1), 107-124.
- Jensen, JM, & Raver, JL (2021). A policy capturing investigation of bystander decisions to intervene against workplace incivility. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 36(5), 883-901.

- Köhler, T., González-Morales, MG, Sojo, VE, & Olsen, JE (2018). Who is the wolf and who is the sheep? Toward a more nuanced understanding of workplace incivility. *Industrial and organizational Psychology*, 11(1), 122-129.
- Lee, J., & Jensen, JM (2014). The effects of active constructive and passive corrective leadership on workplace incivility and the mediating role of fairness perceptions. *Group & Organization Management*, 39(4), 416-443.
- Mahfooz, Z., Arshad, A., Nisar, QA, Ikram, M., & Azeem, M. (2017). Does workplace incivility & workplace ostracism influence the employees' turnover intentions? Mediating role of burnout and job stress & moderating role of psychological capital. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 7(8), 398-413.
- Purwanto, A., & Sudargini, Y. (2021). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Analysis for Social and Management Research: A Literature Review. *Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management Research*, 2(4), 114-123.
- Purwanto, A., Asbari, M., & Santoso, TI (2021). Education Management Research Data Analysis: Comparison of Results between Lisrel, Tetrad, GSCA, Amos, SmartPLS, WarpPLS, and SPSS For Small Samples. Nidhomul Haq: Journal of Islamic Education Management.
- Purwanto, A., Asbari, M., Santoso, TI, Sunarsi, D., & Ilham, D. (2021). Education Research Quantitative Analysis for Little Respondents: Comparing of Lisrel, Tetrad, GSCA, Amos, SmartPLS, WarpPLS, and SPSS. *Journal of Teacher Studies and Learning*, 4(2).
- Sidle, SD (2009). Workplace incivility: how should employees and managers respond?. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 23(4), 88-89.
- Sims, C., Carter, A., & Moore De Peralta, A. (2021). Do servant, transformational, transactional, and passive avoidant leadership styles influence mentoring competencies for faculty? A study of a gender equity leadership development program. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 32(1), 55-75.

Singh, S., Sood, S., & Bala, R. (2021). Passive leadership styles and perceived procrastination in leaders: a PLS-SEM approach. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 17(1), 20-37.

Tong, J., Chong, S., & Johnson, RE (2019). The indirect relations of workplace incivility with emotional exhaustion and supportive behaviors via self-blame: The moderating roles of observed incivility and trait emotional control. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(8), 931-946.

Vullingsh, JT, De Hoogh, AH, Den Hartog, DN, & Boon, C. (2020). Ethical and passive leadership and their joint relationships with burnout via role clarity and role overload. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 165(4), 719-733.

3. Hasil Revisi

The Effect of Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility of Employees in Indonesia Public Organizations

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jun 12th, 201x

Revised Aug 20th, 201x

Accepted Aug 26th, 201x

Keyword:

Passive Leadership

Behavioral Incivility

Workplace Incivility

public organizations

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationship between Passive Leadership variables and Behavioral Incivility, the relationship between Passive Leadership variables and Workplace Incivility and the relationship between Workplace Incivility variables and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. This research belongs to the type of descriptive quantitative research, namely research that aims to explain and explain descriptive information. The subjects taken in this study were 210 employee in Indonesia public organizations during the COVID-19 pandemic which were determined by the random sampling system method. Data was obtained by distributing online questionnaires with a Likert scale of 1 to 7 through social media. The analysis technique used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with data processing tools SmartPLS 3.0 software. The independent variable in this study is Passive Leadership, while the dependent variables are Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility. The increase in the passive leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the behavioral incivility variable. There is a significant effect of passive leadership on workplace incivility in Indonesia public organizations. There is a significant influence between workplace incivility on behavioral incivility in Indonesia public organizations.



© 2020 The Authors. Published by IICET.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-SA license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0>)

Corresponding Author:

Tunggul Prasodjo

Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Nobel Indonesia

Email: tunggul.prasodjo@nobel.ac.id

Introduction

In the era of the industrial revolution 4.0, human resource management is needed to improve the effectiveness of human resources in Indonesian public organizations whose goal is to provide effective work unit organizations. The success of HR in achieving organizational goals is also inseparable from the influence and behavior of leaders in developing employees. According to Aydın Küçük (2022), the

effectiveness of employees in doing their jobs depends on the influence they receive from their leaders. According to Kandade et al. (2021) A successful leader is if the leader is able to be a motivator for his subordinates by creating an atmosphere and work culture that can spur the growth and development of employee performance, and has the ability to have a positive influence on his employees to do their jobs. in accordance with the direction and goals to be achieved. Therefore, the leadership style here is very important and has a big impact on employees, but not only that, a reciprocal relationship is needed between superiors and subordinates. According to Daraba et al. (2021) leaders apply a leadership style that is favored by their subordinates, and vice versa subordinates will be motivated so that they can improve their performance and the desired organizational goals can be achieved. According to Niswaty et al.(2019) The leadership style itself is defined as the behavior or method chosen and used by the leader in influencing the thoughts, feelings, attitudes, and behavior of the organization. Leadership style is the way a leader influences the behavior of subordinates, so that they are willing to work together and work productively to achieve organizational goals. According to Wader et al.,(2020) Each person's behavior or leadership style must be different according to the personality of the leader, this is what can affect employee achievement and performance which can later affect the achievement of company goals. However, not all leaders are able to encourage or motivate their employees in a positive direction.

According to Banks et al. (2016) there are leaders who expect employees to do their jobs well based on the responsibilities given, but without supervision and do not play an active role in directing employees in doing work to achieve goals. organization goals. According Busola Oluwafemi et al (2019) Leaders carry out their responsibilities, leaving employees without clear direction and coordination and forcing employees to make their own plans to implement them, and rate them according to what they feel is appropriate without standards. clear. This leadership style can be called passive leadership. Passive leadership involves a pattern of indolence exhibited by someone in a position of authority.

Examples of passive leadership include behaviors such as avoiding decisions, ignoring problems at work, and not reinforcing appropriate behaviors in the organization. A leader is said to be passive if the leader allows employees to work alone without ongoing supervision, and the leader also gives full authority to employees regarding decision-making problems in their work. According to Smith et al. (2016) passive leadership includes Management By Exception Passive (MBEP) and laissez-faire leadership. Management By Exception Passive is the leader waiting for the problem to exist and then there is a desire to fix it (Chênevert et al., 2013; Gefen et al., 2008; Horwitz et al., 2008; Jiang & Probst, 2016; Moreno-Casado et al., 2022). So that this kind of leader does not focus on problem prevention but on problem fixing, where prevention will be more efficient because the organization does not need to face high-risk problems. According to Sow & Aborbie (2018) this leadership style emphasizes more on group decisions and allows the leading group to determine their goals and methods to be achieved. In some situations, the laissez-faire leadership style can leave people feeling lost and frustrated because of the lack of guidance from superiors, so that employees often find it difficult to do or complete their work when faced with this type of leader. So that employee behavior can be affected as a result of passive leadership which causes employees to behave arbitrarily. Passive leaders generally do not take proactive steps to reward positive behavior, so employees who are well behaved and are not rewarded have no desire to repeat their good behavior. In this way, passive leaders can have an effect on deviant employee behavior, such as behavioral incivility. According to Purwanto et al (2021) Immodest behavior is human behavior that is not in accordance with proper behavior and is not in accordance with the regulations of an organization. Some examples of behavior that show impoliteness are such as coming late to work, coming home earlier than the appointed time, influencing coworkers not to

attend office events, subconscious desire to hurt coworkers, not being in the office during working hours, and so on.

According to Proctor & Niemeyer (2020) put forward social learning theory that can influence other employees through employees who behave impolitely, for example when an employee sees another employee being disrespectful but not reprimanded by his superior, then the employee will dare to do the same thing and do the same. this will spread to other employees. According to Liu et al.(2020) This behavior is called experienced workplace incivility. This is what causes many employees to behave impolitely when their leader is passive, when employees are used to behaving impolitely then this will become a habit that will continue to be carried out based on experience or also called the experience of behaving impolitely. There are many public organizations in which there are several fields in which they only carry out tasks according to their respective fields. In one field there is a head of field and several employees. According to Jin et al.,(2020) There are employees who only perform their duties in front of their leaders, they not only don't do their job but often also disturb other employees who are doing their respective tasks. Not to mention when they are faced with passive leaders who don't care about the conditions of their employees, making them tend to be lazy, even though the tasks given are a responsibility that can generate their salaries and also become lessons that can make them better. and more advanced in career in the future.

The hypotheses in this study are:

7. There is a positive relationship between Passive Leadership and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations
8. There is a positive relationship between Passive Leadership and Workplace Incivility in Indonesia public organizations
9. There is a positive relationship between Workplace Incivility and Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations

Method

This research belongs to the type of explanatory quantitative research, namely research that aims to explain and explain descriptive information. The subjects taken in this study were 210 employee in Indonesia public organizations during the COVID-19 pandemic which were determined by the random sampling system method. Data was obtained by distributing online questionnaires with a Likert scale of 1 to 7 (ranges from one extreme to another, like "extremely likely" to "not at all likely.") through social media. The analysis technique used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with data processing tools SmartPLS 3.0 software. The independent variable in this study is Passive Leadership, while the independent variables are Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility. In obtaining data and information in accordance with this study, the researcher used a questionnaire (questionnaire) given personally to the respondent as a tool to collect related data and information. A questionnaire is a pre-defined list of written questions to which the respondent will answer, usually in clearly defined alternatives.

According to Kjellevoid Olsen et al. (2021) , passive leadership, which can cause impolite behavior to arise, influences behavioral incivility. Employees are greatly impacted by passive leadership since this style of leadership is characterized by a lack of concern for the people within its purview (Chênevert et al., 2013; Kjellevoid Olsen et al., 2021). Employees act inappropriately as a result of this predicament, like showing up late for work, staying outside of regular working hours during business hours without doing official duties, upsetting coworkers, and other behaviors. Unintentionally,

employees may behave impolitely as a result of passive leadership. Thus, it can be said that the following is the hypothesis:

H1. Passive Leadership Affects Behavioral Incivility

Based on the first premise, if there is a correlation between passive leadership and Workplace Incivility, then Bandura & Hall (2018) social learning theory suggests that rude employees can influence other employees. Consequently, the influence of leaders, supervisors, and coworkers has a significant impact on the incidence of unpleasant behavior in the workplace (Amanullah, 2021; Asad Ali & Siddiqui, 2020; Fathiizadeh & Zare, 2021). The more time remains in the day, the more employees of the organization can replicate the behavior and dare to act outside the boundaries of established standards. In conclusion, the theory might be stated as follows:

H2: Passive Leadership Influences Workplace Incivility

Miranda et al. (2020) give a detailed framework to establish the relationship between workplace incivility and behavioral incivility by utilizing social interactionist theory. Although rudeness begins when a person (such as an employee, customer, or supervisor) acts in disrespectful behavior, it is attributed to the effect of the disagreeable act and how others respond to it. Employees who have taken Workplace Incivility activities more than twice will influence the perception of other employees that this behavior is acceptable in the workplace (Miranda et al., 2020). This will have an obvious impact on the behavior of employees who merely want to be rude. In conclusion, the theory might be stated as follows:

H3. Behavioral incivility is influenced by workplace incivility

Based on previous studies, it can be seen that the research concept model is as follows:




Figure 1. Research Model

Results and Discussions

Model Validity Test

The first stage in data analysis is to test the validity and reliability of the model. According to Purwanto et al (2021) explaining an indicator is declared valid if it has a loading factor above 0.70. Here are the results of the validity test




Figure 2. Validity Test After Selection

Based on Figure 2, all indicator statements are declared valid because they already have a loading factor above 0.70.

Table 1. Reliability Test

Alpha Cronbach	rho_A	Composite Reliability	Average Extracted
----------------	-------	-----------------------	-------------------

				Variance (AVE)
Passive Leadership	0.776	0.717	0.761	0.862
Behavioral Incivility	0.769	0.786	0.780	0.768
Workplace Incivility	0.877	0.814	0.863	0.783

Source: processed data (2022)

Based on the standards set by Purwanto et al (2021) in table 1, it is known that the AVE value is above 0.5 and the Composite Reliability value is above 0.7, so that all variables meet the reliability requirements.

Structural Model Evaluation (Inner Model)

R-Square is used to evaluate the structural model for the independent variables/inner model, here are the results of testing the inner model

Table 2. Evaluation of the Structural Model (Inner Model)

	R box	R Square Customized
Behavioral Incivility	0855	0.814
Workplace Incivility	0.937	0.923

Source: processed data (2022)

Based on table 2, the Behavioral Incivility variable can be explained by 85.5% in this model, while the remaining 14.5% is explained by other variables not discussed in this study . While the Workplace Incivility variable can be explained by 93.7% by this research model, while the remaining 6.3% is explained by other variables not discussed in this study

Hypothesis test

The following are the results of data processing for hypothesis testing using the PLS Bootstrapping method.




Figure 3. PLS Bootstrap

The following table is presented to clarify the description of the hypothesis test above.

Table 3. Hypothesis Test

	Original Sample (O)	T statistics	P value	Result
Passive Leadership -> Behavioral Incivility	0.229	1,199	0.231	Not supported
Passive Leadership->Workplace Incivility	0.968	98.653	0.000	Supported
Workplace Incivility->Behavioral Incivility	0.701	3.675	0.002	Supported

Relationship between Passive Leadership and Behavioral Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value of $0.231 > 0.050$ so that it was concluded that there was an insignificant effect between Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. This result is not in line with research by Baig & Zaid (2020); Miranda et al., (2020); Bandura & Hall (2018); Asad Ali & Siddiqui (2020) which states that Passive Leadership does not have a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable. The results of this study indicate that passive leadership has a significant effect on behavioral incivility and experienced workplace incivility. Passive leadership is a bad leader trait to be applied to Indonesia public organizations. Because employees are more likely to engage in impolite behavior. Therefore, so that employees can reduce impolite behavior, it is better for leaders to be able to reduce their passive nature to be more active and be able to pay attention to the behavior of each employee. A passive leader which in theory is laissez-faire leadership that can be applied to companies where the leader and employees have the same final education and experience, then the passive leader can let his employees make their own decisions within the company.

Relationship between Passive Leadership and Workplace Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value value was $0.000 < 0.050$ so it was concluded that there was a significant influence between Passive Leadership on Workplace Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable has a significant effect on the Workplace Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. These results are in line with research by Ahmed (2020); Azam (2018) which states that Passive Leadership has a significant influence on the Workplace Incivility variable. The results of this study also show that experienced workplace incivility can strengthen its influence between passive leadership and Behavioral incivility is therefore expected of employees not to engage in impolite behavior that can affect other employees in the workplace. And it is hoped that leaders from each field will pay more attention to the behavior of each member who has started to deviate, so that employees will no longer commit many violations so that they can improve performance.

Relationship between Workplace Incivility and Behavioral Incivility

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that the p value of $0.002 < 0.050$ so it was concluded that there was a significant effect between Workplace Incivility on Behavioral Incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The increase in the Workplace Incivility variable has a significant effect on the Behavioral Incivility variable in Indonesia public organizations. These results are in line with research by Lan et al. (2020); Naeem et al., (2020) which states that Passive Leadership has a significant influence on the Behavioral Incivility variable.

Conclusions

Based on the results of data analysis, it was found that there was no significant effect between passive leadership on behavioral incivility. The increase in the Passive Leadership variable does not have a significant effect on the behavioral incivility variable. There is a significant effect of passive leadership on workplace incivility in Indonesia public organizations. There is a significant influence between workplace incivility on behavioral incivility in Indonesia public organizations. The results of this study indicate that passive leadership has a significant effect on behavioral incivility and experienced workplace incivility. Passive leadership is a bad leader trait to be applied to public organizations. Because employees are more likely to engage in impolite behavior. Therefore, so that employees can reduce impolite behavior, it is better for leaders to be able to reduce their passive nature to be more active and be able to pay attention to the behavior of each employee. A passive leader which in theory is laissez-faire leadership that can be applied to companies where the leader and employees have the same final education and experience, then the passive leader can let his employees make their own decisions within the public.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to the relevant agencies in providing accurate information, free from intervention from the leadership of the organization. So as to be able to present data that is in accordance with the facts and realities that occur in Indonesia, especially in public organizations.

References

- Ahmed, H. (2020). *Impact of Passive Leadership on Workplace Incivility with the Mediating Role of Emotional Labor and Moderating Role of Power Distance*. CAPITAL UNIVERSITY.
- Amanullah, R. (2021). *Impact of passive leadership on emotional exhaustion with the mediating role of workplace incivility and moderating role of affective commitment*. Faculty of Management & Social Sciences Department of Management Sciences
- Asad Ali, Z., & Siddiqui, D. A. (2020). Effect of Culture and Leadership on Workplace Incivility with the Mediating Role of Norms and Organizational Justice. *Danish Ahmed, Effect of Culture and Leadership on Workplace Incivility with the Mediating Role of Norms and Organizational Justice (August 30, 2020)*.
- Aydın Küçük, B. (2022). Understanding the employee job satisfaction depending on manager's fair treatment: The role of cynicism towards the organization and co-worker support. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 72(6), 100795. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2022.100795>
- Azam, F. (2018). *Moderating Effects of Passive Leadership and Perceived Organizational Support on the Relationship between Workplace Incivility and Counterproductive Work Behavior*. University of Management & Technology.
- Baig, J., & Zaid, A. A. (2020). Behavioral incivility and leadership styles: Assessing the

mediation of job strain, employment insecurity and relational injustice: A conceptual model. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(3), 687–693.

- Bandura, A., & Hall, P. (2018). Albert bandura and social learning theory. *Learning theories for early years practice*, 63.
- Banks, G. C., McCauley, K. D., Gardner, W. L., & Guler, C. E. (2016). A meta-analytic review of authentic and transformational leadership: A test for redundancy. *The Leadership Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2016.02.006>
- Busola Oluwafemi, T., Mitchelmore, S., & Nikolopoulos, K. (2019). Leading innovation: Empirical evidence for ambidextrous leadership from UK high-tech SMEs. *Journal of Business Research*, November 2018, 0–1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.10.035>
- Chênevert, D., Vandenberghe, C., Doucet, O., & Ben Ayed, A. K. (2013). Passive leadership, role stressors, and affective organizational commitment: A time-lagged study among health care employees. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 63(5), 277–286. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2013.07.002>
- Daraba, D., Wirawan, H., Salam, R., & Faisal, M. (2021). Working from home during the corona pandemic: Investigating the role of authentic leadership, psychological capital, and gender on employee performance. *Cogent Business & Management*, 8(1), 1885573.
- Fathiizadeh, A., & Zare, R. (2021). Investigating the Relationship between Passive Leadership and Organizational Incivility Moderated by Experienced Incivility. *Transformation Management Journal*, 12(2), 189–206.
- Gefen, D., Ragowsky, A., & Ridings, C. (2008). Leadership and justice: Increasing non participating users' assessment of an IT through passive participation. *Information & Management*, 45(8), 507–512. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2008.08.001>
- Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2015). Partial least squares konsep, teknik dan aplikasi menggunakan program smartpls 3.0 untuk penelitian empiris. *Semarang: Badan Penerbit UNDIP*.
- Horwitz, I. B., Horwitz, S. K., Daram, P., Brandt, M. L., Charles Brunicardi, F., & Awad, S. S. (2008). Transformational, Transactional, and Passive-Avoidant Leadership Characteristics of a Surgical Resident Cohort: Analysis Using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire and Implications for Improving Surgical Education Curriculums. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 148(1), 49–59. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2008.03.007>
- Jiang, L., & Probst, T. M. (2016). Transformational and passive leadership as cross-level moderators of the relationships between safety knowledge, safety motivation, and safety participation. *Journal of Safety Research*, 57, 27–32. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2016.03.002>
- Jin, D., Kim, K., & DiPietro, R. B. (2020). Workplace incivility in restaurants: Who's the real

- victim? Employee deviance and customer reciprocity. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 86, 102459. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102459>
- Kandade, K., Samara, G., Parada, M. J., & Dawson, A. (2021). From family successors to successful business leaders: A qualitative study of how high-quality relationships develop in family businesses. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 12(2), 100334. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfbs.2019.100334>
- Kjellevoid Olsen, O., Hetland, J., Berge Matthiesen, S., Løvik Hoprekstad, Ø., Espevik, R., & Bakker, A. B. (2021). Passive avoidant leadership and safety non-compliance: A 30 days diary study among naval cadets. *Safety Science*, 138, 105100. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.105100>
- Lan, Y., Xia, Y., Li, S., Wu, W., Hui, J., & Deng, H. (2020). Thwarted enthusiasm: effects of workplace incivility on newcomer proactive behaviors. *Chinese Management Studies*, 14(4), 1035–1056.
- Liu, P., Xiao, C., He, J., Wang, X., & Li, A. (2020). Experienced workplace incivility, anger, guilt, and family satisfaction: The double-edged effect of narcissism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 154, 109642. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.109642>
- Miranda, G. A., Welbourne, J. L., & Sariol, A. M. (2020). Feeling shame and guilt when observing workplace incivility: Elicitors and behavioral responses. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 31(4), 371–392.
- Moreno-Casado, H., Leo, F. M., López-Gajardo, M. Á., García-Calvo, T., Cuevas, R., & Pulido, J. J. (2022). Teacher leadership and students' psychological needs: A multilevel approach. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 116, 103763. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2022.103763>
- Naeem, M., Weng, Q., Ali, A., & Hameed, Z. (2020). Linking family incivility to workplace incivility: Mediating role of negative emotions and moderating role of self-efficacy for emotional regulation. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*, 23(1), 69–81.
- Niswaty, R., Juniati, F., Darwis, M., Salam, R., & Arhas, S. H. (2019). The Effectiveness of Leadership Functions Implementation in The Makassar Departement of Manpower. *JPBM (Jurnal Pendidikan Bisnis dan Manajemen)*, 5(1), 1–10.
- Proctor, K. R., & Niemeyer, R. E. (2020). Retrofitting social learning theory with contemporary understandings of learning and memory derived from cognitive psychology and neuroscience. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 66, 101655. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2019.101655>
- Purwanto, A., Purba, J. T., Sijabat, R., & Bernarto, I. (2021). The Role of Transformational Leadership, Organizational Citizenship Behaviour, Innovative Work Behaviour, Quality Work Life, Digital Transformation and Leader Member Exchange on Universities Performance. *Organizational Citizenship Behaviour, Innovative Work Behaviour, Quality Work Life, Digital Transformation and Leader Member Exchange on Universities*

Performance (December 17, 2021).

Smith, T. D., Eldridge, F., & DeJoy, D. M. (2016). Safety-specific transformational and passive leadership influences on firefighter safety climate perceptions and safety behavior outcomes. *Safety Science*, 86, 92–97. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.02.019>

Sow, M., & Aborbie, S. (2018). Impact of Leadership on Digital Transformation. *Business and Economic Research*, 8(3), 139. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ber.v8i3.13368>

Wader, N., Darwis, M., Salam, R., & Baharuddin, A. (2020). Application of the Transformational Leadership Style at the BKKBN office in South Sulawesi Province. *PINISI Discretion Review*, 1(1), 7–12.

4. Proses Copyediting dan Layout

jurnal.licet.org/index.php/jppi/author/submissionEditing/1978

New chat Remotex Translate Online WhatsApp BookFi ezproxy Download video YouTube JIAP QuillBot GD iLovePDF

Home About Editorial Team Issue Submissions Contact Announcements tunggul

Indonesia public organizations

Section Articles

Editor Zadian Ardi

Copyediting

Copyedit Instructions

Review Metadata	Request	Underway	Complete
1. Initial Copyedit File: None	-	-	-
2. Author Copyedit File: None <input type="button" value="Pilih File"/> Tidak ada file yang dipilih <input type="button" value="Upload"/>	-	-	-
3. Final Copyedit File: None	-	-	-

Copyedit Comments No Comments

Layout

Galley Format File

1. PDF View Proof	1978-7739-1-PB.pdf	2023-04-09	0
-----------------------------------	--------------------	------------	---


Supplementary Files File

1. The Effect of Passive Leadership on Behavioral Incivility and Workplace Incivility of Employees in Indonesia Public Organizations	1978-5446-1-SP.docx	2022-09-08
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------	------------

Layout Comments No Comments

JPPPI (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia) is Nationally Accredited in **SINTA 2**

Accreditation Number
(Ministry of Research and Technology /National Agency for Research and Innovation):
200/M/KPT/2020, No 77 pp.15 date December 23, 2020.



5. Artikel Publish

The screenshot shows the journal's homepage with a navigation bar at the top containing links like Home, About, Editorial Team, Issue, Submissions, Contact, and Announcements. The main content area lists several articles, each with a PDF icon, DOI, abstract views, and page numbers. The article 'The effect of passive leadership on behavioral incivility and workplace incivility of employees in Indonesia public organizations' by Tunggal Prasodjo is highlighted with a red box. To the right, there is a 'TERAKREDITASI' badge with a QR code and a 'Register' button. Below the badge is a sidebar with various links such as Contact Us, Editorial Team, Reviewers, Focus and Scope, Publication Ethics, Section Policies, Open Access Policy, Privacy Statemet, and Journal History.

DOI: 10.29210/189900 Abstract Views: 0 Page: 12-17

Android-based Al-qur'an application development and culture "Nggahi Mbojo"

Arifin Arifin, STKIP Yapia Dompus, Indonesia
Hidayat Hidayat, STKIP Yapia Dompus, Indonesia
Asemy Asemy, STKIP Yapia Dompus, Indonesia
Andi Prayudi, STKIP Yapia Dompus, Indonesia
Fathima'ul Fathima'ul, STKIP Yapia Dompus, Indonesia

DOI: 10.29210/020222086 Abstract Views: 0 Page: 18-25

Disiplin siswa dalam belajar bahasa Inggris: analisis gender dan jurusan di madrasah aliyah

Zuhidah Zuhidah, Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau, Indonesia
Yasnel Yasnel, Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau, Indonesia
Syafida Syafida, Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau, Indonesia
Fitra Herlinda, Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau, Indonesia

DOI: 10.29210/190100 Abstract Views: 0 Page: 26-33

The effect of passive leadership on behavioral incivility and workplace incivility of employees in Indonesia public organizations

Tunggal Prasodjo, Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Nobel Indonesia, Indonesia

DOI: 10.29210/190100 Abstract Views: 0 Page: 26-33

TERAKREDITASI

Register

Contact Us
Editorial Team
Reviewers
Focus and Scope
Publication Ethics
Section Policies
Open Access Policy
Privacy Statemet
Journal History

Link Artikel: <https://jurnal.iicet.org/index.php/jppi/article/view/1978>



Home / Vol 9, No 1 (2023) / Tunggal Prasodjo

The effect of passive leadership on behavioral incivility and workplace incivility of employees in Indonesia public organizations

March 30, 2023 Abstract Views: 0 Downloads: 0 Page: 34-40

Tunggal Prasodjo
Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Nobel Indonesia, Indonesia

Corresponding Author

doi: <https://doi.org/10.29210/020221978>

KEYWORDS: Passive Leadership, Behavioral Incivility, Workplace Incivility, Indonesia public organizations



JPPPI (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia) is Nationally Accredited in SINTA 2

Accreditation Number

(Ministry of Research and Technology /National Agency for Research and Innovation): 200/M/KPT/2020, No 77 pp.15 date December 23, 2020.

